

**From:** Randy Denning <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Thursday, January 14, 2021 5:39 PM  
**To:** Bertoline, Justin <Justin.Bertoline@ventura.org>  
**Subject:** Homeowner Comments Regarding Case Number LUI0-0003 - Pacific Rock Mine

Case Number: LUI0-0003  
Project Name: Pacific Rock Mine  
Applicant: Pacific Rock, Inc.  
State Clearinghouse Number: 2017081052  
Location: 1000 South Howard Road, Camarillo  
Assessor Parcel Nos: 234-0-060-220 and 234-0-060-190 Date Application Filed: December 16, 2016

Dear Mr. Bertoline,

This email is intended to provide my comments to the draft EIR for Case Number LUI0-0003 regarding the expansion of the Pacific Rock Mine. I am a homeowner in Palermo Homeowners Association, and my home is one of a few on Via Sandra that sits almost directly above the quarry. We therefore have a direct view of the quarry, and I'm subject to the dust and noise that it currently generates. When my wife and I purchased the home we obviously were aware of the existence of the quarry and its issues, and we judged them to be acceptable. However, at the time that we made the purchase we couldn't have imagined the current changes that are being considered. The increase in dust, noise, light and visual pollution that we would be subjected to is unfathomable. The expansion of the quarry as currently written would certainly diminish our enjoyment of our home and yard, and reduce our property value and our ability to sell our home when we decide to do so.

I will not attempt to address the EIR in detail, I instead refer you to the letter provided by my HOA; the Palermo Maintenance Corporation, dated January 14, 2020. I will however address three of the most egregious elements of the requested expansion. The first is the requested extension of the operational days from 6 to 7 days per week. Obviously this would greatly impact the enjoyment and value of our property, and I frankly don't know how operation of the quarry on Sundays can even be considered for approval. Secondly, the additional noise and dust from the operation of a concrete and asphalt recycling plant would be an eyesore, the noise and dust would be intolerable, and I fear that it would greatly reduce our enjoyment of our property. Lastly, the requested increase of the Hours of Operation (Water Truck Use, Equipment Fueling; Arrivals and Departures of Aggregate, Recycle, and Soil Hauling) is unreasonable in a residential area, and would also contribute to diminishing our enjoyment of our home and yard, and reducing our property value.

In closing, I refer you to the Notice of Availability: "**Based on the analysis presented in the DEIR, the project would result in potentially significant but mitigable impacts to Air Quality, Greenhouse Gasses, Biological Resources, Cultural Resources, Geology and Soils, Noise, Water Resources, Hazards and Public Safety, Land Use and Planning and potentially significant but unavoidable impacts to Visual Resources.**" Given the issues called out in the EIR, I don't know why most of the requested items requested by the Quarry are even under consideration. I strongly encourage that the proposed changes be limited by not allowing for the expansion of the days and hours of operation, and not allowing the operation of a concrete and asphalt recycling plant which is better suited to an industrial area and not adjacent to homes.

Thanks very much for your time.  
Randy and Kathy Denning

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]